

Collaboration with other H2020 projects

Deliverable 1.1

0-----0





Collaboration with other H2020 projects

Work package 1, Deliverable 1.1

Please refer to this report as follows:

Thomas, P, Filtness, A., Talbot, R., Magrin, A (2016) Collaboration with other H2020 projects, Deliverable 1.1 of the H2020 project SafetyCube.

Grant agreement No 633485 - SafetyCube - H2020-MG-2014-2015/ H2020-MG-2014_TwoStages

Project Coordinator:

Professor Pete Thomas, Transport Safety Research Centre, Loughborough Design School, Loughborough University, Ashby Road, Loughborough, LE11 3TU, UK

Project Start date: 01/05/2015

Duration: 36 months

Organisation name of lead contractor for this deliverable: Loughborough University, UK LOUGH

Report Author(s): Thomas, P, Filtness, A., Talbot, R., Magrin, A (LOUGH); United Kingdom

Due date of deliverable:	01/05/2016	Submission date:	30/04/2016

Project co-funded by the by the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme of the European Union Version: Draft 1

Dissemination Level: PU Public/



Co-funded by the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme of the European Union

Table of contents

Execu	itive summary	ii
1 Ir	itroduction	3
1.1	SafetyCube	3
1.2	Purpose of this deliverable	5
2 S	ummary of project liaison activities	. 6
2.1	Project outlines presented at coordination meeting	6
2.2	Transport Research Arena, Warsaw, POland	9
2.3	Synergies between SafetyCube and other projects	.10
2.4	Planned activities	.10
3 C	onclusion	11
Арреі	ndix 1: Presentations made at TRA conference 2016	12

Executive summary



SafetyCube was one of five projects to be funded under the H2020 Topic MG-3.4-2014 Traffic Safety Analysis and Integrated Approach towards the Safety of Vulnerable Road Users. The five projects were invited by the European Commission to explore the possibilities to cooperate in technical and dissemination aspects.

Early collaborations have been established between SafetyCube and other projects supported under the H2020 Topic MG-3.4-2014 Traffic Safety Analysis and Integrated Approach towards the Safety of Vulnerable Road Users. A series of joint activities have been conducted including

- Project Coordinator collaboration meeting
- Joint Session at Transport Research Arena Conference, Warsaw April 2016
- Informal joint project meeting at TRA
- Specific Joint Work Package meetings with InDeV in relation to the estimation of accident costs

Future joint activities are planned to further explore collaboration opportunities between SafetyCube and other projects

- Invitation to other project representatives to attend SafetyCube Mid-term workshop
- A joint session at the International Cycle Safety Conference in Bologna, November 2016
- Further Work Package level discussions to explore potential cooperation in estimating the under-reporting of crashes.

1 Introduction

1.1 SAFETYCUBE

Safety CaUsation, Benefits and Efficiency (SafetyCube) is a European Commission supported Horizon 2020 project with the objective of developing an innovative road safety Decision Support System (DSS) that will enable policy-makers and stakeholders to select and implement the most appropriate strategies, measures and cost-effective approaches to reduce casualties of all road user types and all severities.

SafetyCube aims to:

- 1. develop new analysis methods for (a) Priority setting, (b) Evaluating the effectiveness of measures (c) Monitoring serious injuries and assessing their socio-economic costs (d) Costbenefit analysis taking account of human and material costs
- 2. apply these methods to safety data to identify the key accident causation mechanisms, risk factors and the most cost-effective measures for fatally and seriously injured casualties
- 3. develop an operational framework to ensure the project facilities can be accessed and updated beyond the completion of SafetyCube
- 4. enhance the European Road Safety Observatory and work with road safety stakeholders to ensure the results of the project can be implemented as widely as possible

The core of the project is a comprehensive analysis of accident risks and the effectiveness and costbenefit of safety measures focusing on road users, infrastructure, vehicles and injuries framed within a systems approach with road safety stakeholders at the national level, EU and beyond having involvement at all stages.

1.1.1 Work Package 1

WP1 deals with the overall coordination of the project and the administrative work required for monitoring the progress of the project.

WP1 comprises a single task, Project Management, which runs continuously through the duration of the project. It is conducted by the Project Coordinator, Loughborough University (LOUGH), and comprises the following activities:

Provision of administrative and contractual infrastructure for project partners

- Liaison with European Commission concerning any contract amendments
- Preparation of Consortium Agreement and any amendments
- Periodic and final project reporting to European Commission
- Distribution of project partner payments
- Routine monitoring of partner time and budget expenditure

Coordination of project activities

- Chair of project Steering Committee
- Maintaining focus on project objectives
- Monitoring of project progress against time-plan, adjustments to activities as required
- Scrutiny of dependencies between Work Packages, identification of obstacles and opportunities

Communication

- Routine communication with European Commission as required
- Communication between partners direction of project, achievements and progress
- Coordination of annual project plenary meeting
- Representing the Project to the external reviewers
- Coordination of end of project conference

• Representing project to external groups including related H2020 and national projects

Quality Assurance

The Coordinator is responsible for managing the project procedures to ensure the quality of the results and deliverables. A quality assurance procedure has been established to ensure that each deliverable conforms to the specifications laid down in the Work Package descriptions and fully addresses the project objectives to advance the state of knowledge concerning accident causation, risks and the effectiveness of measures. Every member of the partnership is invited to support this QA process, as established in the Deliverable Review Process document. External reviews will also be conducted by external expert for those deliverables considered fundamental. Members of the group will also be invited to conduct an annual review of the progress of the full project against the work plan and expected quality criteria.

Risk management

The Coordinator has the responsibility to maintain the project risk management plan. The first version of the plan is included in the Proposal. Should any unexpected high impact events occur during the course of the project the plan may need further updating. The plan is expected to represent the responses needed by the project team should adverse events occur that impact on the success of the project. The coordinator will establish a monitoring procedure to detect problems at an early stage in sufficient time to react optimally.

Legal and ethical issues

Legal questions may arise at any time during the project. Normally these may be difficulties with legal changes affecting partners, changes of legal status or financial issues. There may be some aspects of the project that initiate ethical considerations although none have been identified at the current time. Many of these issues may need to be addressed under the guidelines laid down in the Consortium Agreement and some may need amendments to the Grant Agreement. The Project Coordinator will ensure there is sufficient legal oversight of the project to enable all of these issues to be addressed properly, maintain full communication with the relevant project partners and where necessary the European Commission, and will ensure that obstacles are addressed rapidly and efficiently by the project team.

During the project contract finalisation phase the SafetyCube team were informed of four other research projects to be funded under the same topic MG-3.4-2014 Traffic Safety Analysis and Integrated Approach towards the Safety of Vulnerable Road Users and were invited to explore opportunities for synergies and collaboration. The projects and Co-ordinating organisations are as follows:

InDeV	In-Depth understanding of accident causation for Vulnerable road users	Lund University, SE
Prospect	PROactive Safety for PEdestrians and CyclisTs	IDIADA, ES
Seniors	Safety-ENhancing Innovations for Older Road userS	BASt, DE
XCycle	Advanced measures to reduce cyclists' fatalities and increase comfort in the interaction with motorised vehicles	University of Bologna, IT

1.2 PURPOSE OF THIS DELIVERABLE

The SafetyCube project team has conducted liaison activities with the four other MG 3.4 projects during the first year of the project duration. This brief management report describes the first stages of engagement between the SafetyCube team and the work packages of the other projects. It does not report on any further engagement between the projects that does not involve SafetyCube.

2 Summary of project liaison activities

0-----0

This chapter describes the inter-project engagement activities undertaken in the first project year by the SafetyCube team and the outcomes in terms of project synergies.

Liaison activities between SafetyCube and other projects have taken place using a top down approach, based on interaction between Project Coordinators, and a bottom up approach based on communications between project partners.

SafetyCube commenced project activities on 1 May 2015 and all Work Packages were fully established by the end of 2015. To initiate closer communications between the projects a web-based project liaison meeting was held on 4 March 2016. At this meeting Project Coordinators each presented an overview of the projects and discussed possible areas of cooperation.

2.1 PROJECT OUTLINES PRESENTED AT COORDINATION MEETING.

1. **SafetyCube -** Safety CaUsation, Benefits and Efficiency - (Pete Thomas, Loughborough University)

The objective of SafetyCube is to develop an innovative road safety Decision Support System (DSS) that will enable policy-makers and stakeholders to select and implement the most appropriate strategies, measures and cost-effective approaches to reduce casualties of all road user types and all severities. At the core of the project will be a novel and comprehensive analysis of accident causation factors combined with newly estimated data on the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of safety measures, not just in relation to reduction of fatalities but also the number of injured. An operational framework will be established to provide future access to the DSS once the project is completed. The project has four sub-objectives:

1. To develop new analysis methods for (a) Priority setting, (b) Evaluating the effectiveness of measures (c) Monitoring serious injuries and assessing their socioeconomic costs (d) Cost-benefit analysis taking account of human and material costs 2. To apply these methods to safety data to identify the key accident causation mechanisms, risk factors and the most cost-effective measures for fatally and seriously injured casualties

3. To develop an operational framework to ensure the project facilities can be accessed and updated beyond the completion of SafetyCube

4. To enhance the European Road Safety Observatory and work with road safety stakeholders to ensure the results of the project can be implemented as widely as possible

The project outputs will be framed according to the specific policy and stakeholder areas – infrastructures, vehicles and road users – so that the measures developed in the project can be most readily applied. A systems approach will ensure effective coordination between these areas. The close involvement of road safety stakeholders of all types at national and EU levels and wider will enable the DSS to be focussed on the most appropriate policy-making procedures and ensure the project outputs have global reach.

2. Prospect - PROactive Safety for PEdestrians and CyclisTs - (Andrés Aparicio, IDIADA) The past decade has seen significant progress on active pedestrian safety, as a result of advances in video and radar technology. In the intelligent vehicle domain, this has recently culminated in the market introduction of first-generation active pedestrian safety systems, which can perform autonomous emergency braking (AEB-PED) in case of critical traffic situations. PROSPECT will significantly improve the effectiveness of active VRU safety systems compared to those currently on the market. This will be achieved in two complementary ways:

(a) by expanded scope of VRU scenarios addressed and

(b) by improved overall system performance (earlier and more robust detection of VRUs, proactive situation analysis, and fast actuators combined with new intervention strategies for collision avoidance).

PROSPECT targets five key objectives:

i. Better understanding of relevant VRU scenarios

ii. Improved VRU sensing and situational analysis

iii. Advanced HMI and vehicle control strategies

iv. Four vehicle demonstrators, a mobile driving simulator and a realistic bicycle dummy demonstrator

v. Testing in realistic traffic scenarios and user acceptance study

The consortium includes the majority of European OEMs (Audi, BMW, DAIMLER, TME and Volvo Cars) currently offering AEB systems for VRU. They are keen to introduce the next generation systems into the market. BOSCH and CONTI will contribute with next generation components and intervention concepts. Video algorithms will be developed by UoA and DAIMLER. Driver interaction aspects (HMI) are considered by UoN and IFSTTAR. Euro NCAP test labs (IDIADA, BAST, TNO) will define and validate test procedures and propose standardization to Euro NCAP and UN-ECE. Accident research will be performed by Chalmers, VTI and BME, based on major in-depth accident databases (GIDAS and IGLAD) and complemented by East Europe data. The work will be done in cooperation with experts in Japan (JARI, NTSEL) and the US (VTTI, UMTRI, NHTSA).

3. **InDeV** - In-Depth understanding of accident causation for Vulnerable road users - (Aliaksei Laureshyn, Lund University)

The InDeV project addresses the second bullet point of the topic MG.3.4. i.e. "... in-depth understanding of road accident causation...". The main objective of the project is to develop a tool-box for in-depth analysis of accident causation for Vulnerable Road Users (VRU) based on a combined use of accident databases, in-depth accident investigations, surrogate safety indicators, self-reported accidents and naturalistic behavioural data. The tool-box will help to link accident causation factors to VRUs' accident risk, and provide a solid basis for developing preventive countermeasures and a better input for socio-economic cost calculations of VRU accidents. The proposed approach is to reveal the causational factors by focusing on the process of accident development, thus overcoming the main weakness of the traditional accident frequency, but not show the causation chains. It will also employ, to a larger extent, observation of critical traffic events that are similar in process to real accidents, but are relatively more frequent and easier to collect in sufficient quantities. The InDeV project includes the following steps:

i) review of methods and identification of the critical sites and road user groups;ii) observation studies at the selected sites;

iii) development of technical tools for automated behaviour data collection;

iv) analysis of the socio-economical costs;

v) compilation of the project results and development of the safety analyst tool-box. The project has a clear focus on VRUs and the course of events in accidents they get injured in. It will provide solid knowledge, help to avoid a skewed view on the problem of VRUs' safety, and facilitate the proposed tailor-made countermeasures for these groups. Moreover, with the use of surrogate safety indicators, there will be no need to wait for accidents to happen in order to learn how to prevent them from happening.

- XCycle Advanced measures to reduce cyclists' fatalities and increase comfort in the 4. interaction with motorised vehicles - Luca Pietrantoni, University of Bologna) Cyclists suffer a disproportionate share of serious injuries and fatalities, and indeed in recent years that disadvantage has been growing. At the same time they often are not treated equally by traffic systems (e.g. traffic signals frequently fail to register their approach or presence). XCYCLE has the aim of developing the means to equalise the treatment of cyclists in traffic and thus both encourage cycling and make cycling safer. XCYCLE will develop: technologies aimed at improving active and passive detection of cyclists; systems informing both drivers and cyclists of a hazard at junctions; effective methods of presenting information in vehicles and on-site; cooperation systems aimed at reducing collisions with cyclists. Two relevant use cases would be bicycle interaction with large vehicles and cars at intersections and the provision of an immediate or extended green traffic light for cyclists approaching traffic signals. An in-vehicle detection system and a system of threat mitigation and risk avoidance by traffic signals will be developed. The components developed and built up will be systematically integrated, implemented and verified. A new large-scale research infrastructure in the city of Braunschweig (DE) and a second test mobile platform will be used as test site. A demo bicycle with a cooperative technology will be developed and tested as well. A user-centred approach will be adopted. Behavioural evaluation will part of the whole process: attentional responses using eye tracking data; evaluation of human-machine interface; acceptance and willingness to pay. In the Cost-Benefit Analysis behavioural changes will be translated into estimated crashes and casualties saved per system. The project will contribute to innovative and efficient advanced safety measures to reduce the number of accidents, often of high severity, involving cyclists in interaction with motorised vehicles.
- Seniors Safety-ENhancing Innovations for Older Road userS (Marcus Wisch, BASt) 5. European countries face great challenges because the demographic structure in the EU is changing rapidly, due to reducing birth rates and increasing life expectancies. In 2012, 17% of Europeans were aged 65 and older and in 2020 this will rise to 28%. Meanwhile, the mobility needs of the elderly are also changing. Maintaining a driver's licence is an important issue of independence today, both for males and females. Also technological developments like the introduction of e-bikes enables access to other means of transport. These demographic and behavioural changes are of growing concern to mobility and road safety. While accident data show a decreasing number of fatalities and serious injuries on EU roads, recent data from the ERSO show an increasing proportion of elderly in the fatality statistics. This trend is a serious threat to the achievements of recent decades and poses a challenge that must be addressed to meet goals set for further reduction of road fatalities. Furthermore, there is an increasing rate of obesity in EU populations, which introduces changes in injury patterns and risks. The SENIORS project focuses on the protection of elderly and obese road users also by transferring nowadays younger generations' safety standards. The objective is to develop the required understanding of accident scenarios, injury mechanisms and risks and to implement these findings in test tools and test and assessment procedures. An integrated approach considering the elderly in multiple transport modes is applied to reduce the portion of elderly fatalities. The small-scale project focuses on providing tools to encourage wider adoption of advanced restraint and pedestrian protection systems improving the protection of older and

obese vulnerable road users. The activities consolidate results from previous EU projects such as THORAX and AsPeCSS and meet the needs defined by the GRSP IWG on Frontal Impact working on a near-term (2015) and mid-term (2020) update of UN-R94.

2.2 TRANSPORT RESEARCH ARENA, WARSAW, POLAND

The five projects collectively proposed to hold a joint dissemination workshop in the form of a Special Session at the Transport Research Arena to be held in Warsaw, Poland. The session took place on 18 April and it included presentations of the five projects together with the research framework of the Forum of Road Safety Research Institutes (FERSI). Over 150 delegates were able to discuss the nature and purpose of the five projects and the FERSI research directions.

The session speakers are listed below and the presentations are attached in Appendix 1.

Presentation	Title	Presenter
Session Chair		Pete Thomas, SafetyCube,
		Loughborough University
InDeV	In-Depth understanding of	Aliaksei Laureshyn, Lund
	accident causation for	University, SE
	Vulnerable road users	
Prospect	PROactive Safety for	Andres Aparicio, IDIADA, ES
	PEdestrians and CyclisTs	
SafetyCube		George Yannis, NTUA, GR
Seniors	Safety-ENhancing Innovations	Alba Fornells, IDIADA, ES
	for Older Road userS	
XCycle	Advanced measures to reduce	Luca Pietrantoni, University of
	cyclists' fatalities and increase	Bologna, IT
	comfort in the interaction with	
	motorised vehicles	
FERSI	Forum of European Road	Raschid Urmeew, BASt, DE
	Safety Institutes	



Figure 1 Delegates and speakers at the TRA Special Session

The Session Chair took the opportunity of the joint session to invite all partners of each project who were attending the TRA conference to hold an informal meeting with the intention of establishing further working links between the projects. Each delegate described their role in the their project and were able to identify several related activities in other projects including SafetyCube.



Figure 2 Informal meeting of project partners - SafetyCube, InDeV, Seniors, Prospects, XCYCLE

2.3 SYNERGIES BETWEEN SAFETYCUBE AND OTHER PROJECTS

SafetyCube Work Package Leaders have so far identified two areas of synergy with InDeV. Both projects include a task with the objective to estimate the costs of accidents for each EU Member State according to a constant methodology, in SafetyCube the work is undertaken within WP 7. The methodology includes the development of a standardised method to estimate costs followed by a questionnaire to be sent for completion to representatives of EU Member States. The two projects have decided to collaborate to ensure there will be no contradictory estimates of costs and to maximise the use of resources. The work is under development and InDeV will be able to use the national data that SafetyCube will collect with the support of DG-MOVE and the CARE experts group. SafetyCube will be able to use the additional contributions to a common methodology prepared by partners within InDeV.

A further area of potential synergy that was identified at the informal meeting concerned the underreporting of serious injuries, again involving SafetyCube and InDeV. The task in SafetyCube is to compare methods to estimate the numbers of seriously injured casualties and certain groups including single cyclist and powered two-wheeler crashes are known to be under-reported in police and national statistics. Discussions between the projects are currently at an early stage and further discussions are planned to elaborate on possible collaboration.

2.4 PLANNED ACTIVITIES

Currently several future collaborations are planned.

- 1. A joint session to present the projects to be held at the International Cycle Safety Conference in Bologna in November 2016
- 2. Invitations to WP Leaders from other projects to attend the SafetyCube Mid-term workshop to take place in Brussels in September 2016.
- 3. Further discussions between WP Leaders from SafetyCube and other projects on potential areas of cooperation.

3 Conclusion

Early collaborations have been established between SafetyCube and other projects supported under the H2020 Topic MG-3.4-2014 Traffic Safety Analysis and Integrated Approach towards the Safety of Vulnerable Road Users. A series of joint activities have been conducted including

- Project Coordinator collaboration meeting
- Joint Session at Transport Research Arena Conference, Warsaw April 2016
- Informal joint project meeting at TRA
- Specific Joint Work Package meetings with InDeV in relation to the estimation of accident costs

Future joint activities are planned to further explore collaboration opportunities between SafetyCube and other projects


- Invitation to other project representatives to attend SafetyCube Mid-term workshop
- A joint session at the International Cycle Safety Conference in Bologna, November 2016
- Further Work Package level discussions to explore potential cooperation in estimating the under-reporting of crashes.

Appendix 1: Presentations made at TRA conference 2016

SafetyCube

Safety CaUsation, Benefits and Efficiency








SafetyCube concept

• Problem

- Evidence based road safety policies are becoming more usual and there is much better availability of national data and state of the art knowledge
- Effective road safety policies need good information about accident risk factors and about measures
- SafetyCube will meet this need by generating new knowledge about accident risk factors and the effectiveness of measures relevant to Europe
- It will structure this information so it can be readily accessed at both top level and in-depth to meet the needs of all stakeholders





What is a risk?

- "Risk factor" denotes any factor that contributes to accidents or injuries.
- There are risk factors related to all elements of the road system and the interactions between these elements.
- The importance of a risk factor can be defined as the size of the contribution it makes to accidents or injuries.



What is a measure?

- A measure is any action intended to reduce the numbers of accidents or injuries.
 - May reduce the risk of a crash
 - May reduce the risk of injury
 - May reduce exposure to risk



Challenges in evidence based approaches

- Do we have a comprehensive method to identify risks?
 Road, road users and vehicles
- Do we have a comparable method to evaluate measures?
 Road, road users and vehicles
- How do we estimate the likely casualty reduction of a measure that has not been introduced to the real-world?
- Do we have a comprehensive method to evaluate costeffectiveness?
- How do we handle the situation where there are many measures of effectiveness but they disagree?



Decision-making – challenges of the evidence base

- 1. How do we identify and quantify the risk factors and problem areas (eg. Distraction)?
- 2. How do we select the most appropriate measures?(eg speed enforcement, infrastructure or vehicle measures)
- 3. How do we estimate the likely safety benefits and costs?
- How do we estimate the likely casualty reduction of a measure that has not been introduced to the real-world?
 How do we make decisions when there is a lot of conflicting
- How do we make dee evidence?
- 6. How do we make decisions when there is little or no evidence?



Challenges to access the evidence base

- Much of the evidence on risks and measures is in the research literature – how can it be brought together?
- How can we assess transferability of measures from one country to another?
- How can the available information and data be synthesised?



SafetyCube will meet these challenges

•-----•

SafetyCube will

- Provide new information about the effectiveness of measures by bringing together published information
- Produce a comprehensive method to evaluate the costs and benefits of measures
- Produce new information about seriously injured casualties
- Produce a new **Decision Support Tool** that will enable easy access to information on risks and measures



SafetyCube Objectives

• To develop new analytic methods to

- identify the most important risk factors for crashes
- assess the safety effects of measures that address these factors
- assess serious injuries and socio-economic costs in crashes
- conduct Cost-Benefit Analyses taking account of human and material costs.
- To apply these methods to available safety data to identify the key accident causation mechanisms, risk factors and the most cost-effective road safety measures for fatally and seriously injured casualties

SafetyCube will


- Improve the evidence base for road safety policy-making
- Develop a new Decision Support System
- Bring together data about risks, measures and costeffectiveness within a single comprehensive framework





Federal Highway Authority CMF Clearinghouse


- <u>www.cmfclearinghouse.org</u>
- A central, web-based searchable repository of CMFs (including the ones listed in the HSM) and of additional information and resources related to SPFs and CMFs.
- CMFs are rated according to: study design, sample size, standard error, potential biases and data source.
- A star rating (1-5) is assigned based on the cumulative performance in the five categories.

















We need your help

- What is the best way SafetyCube can support evidence based decisions?
- What would you like to see in the Decision Support System?
- What will it look like? How will it operate?
- What are the hot topics we should focus on?



Purpose of today

- To introduce SafetyCube to key stakeholders
- To form a relationship that we would like to last the duration of the project
- To start a dialogue about the project outputs to ensure they are as beneficial to road safety stakeholders as possible








InDeV


In-Depth understanding of accident causation for Vulnerable road users























InDeV's output

Max In Dev

No. 11

Methodology

27/04/2016


- Technical support tools (open source)
- VRU safety handbook & software manuals

www.indev-project.eu




Prospect

PROactive Safety for PEdestrians and CyclisTs

























Key aspects of the project	PROSPECT
4 Validation	EURO
 Testing in realistic traffic scenarios: Real world scenarios to be reproduced in controlled en 	vironments.
 Test methodology and test procedures to be proposed to Et Intervention performance tests considering evasive act Unjustified system interventions. 	
 User acceptance tests: Influence of false warnings and incorrect system intervious 	entions.

• Predictive model of acceptance.

Key aspects of the project

· 4 vehicle demonstrators:

- Demonstration vehicle by Continental with stereo vision camera and high resolution radars, featuring high dynamic brake system combined with power assisted steering actuator.
- Demonstration vehicle by Daimler featuring improvements in earlier and more robust detection of VRUs.
- or vRUs.
 Demonstration vehicle by Bosch featuring enlarged FOV sensors including side coverage, avoidance by steering and braking and new HMI concepts.
 Demonstration vehicle and driving simulator by Volvo featuring advanced HMI and control strategies to evaluate interaction between the driver and the vehicle.
- 1 mobile driving simulator: Mobile DS featuring HMI findings.




PROSPECT


- · Development of realistic pedestrian and cyclist dummies including platform propulsion system:
 - Advanced pedestrian and cyclist dummy test targets for realistic testing.
 Dummy propulsion platform with multiple target carrying on a predefined path.

Project facts	TSBOERDEN
Project title	PROactive Safety for Pedestrians and CyclisTs
Acronym	PROSPECT
Funding	European Commission, Innovation and Networks Executive Agency, under the frame of Horizon 2020 programme
• Topic	MG-3.4-2014 Traffic safety analysis and integrated approach towards the safety of vulnerable road users
GA number	634149
Consortium	17 partners, 9 EU countries
Coordinator	Andrés Aparicio
	IDIADA Automotive Technology, SA
Starting date	1st May 2015
Ending date	31st October 2018
Budget	6.931.978,75 €









Seniors

Safety-ENhancing Innovations for Older Road

ΜΟΤΙVΑΤΙΟΝ



Project Introduction

Alba Fornells, IDIADA on behalf of the SENIORS consortium

TRA, 19 April 2016

SEN

bast

Autoliv

FCA


Stored

HUMANETICS

Applus[®]

I BARI

13




poeple

→ Population is getting older

Age pyramid for Ge

ΜΟΤΙVΑΤΙΟΝ

Figures from CARE (Community Road Accident Database)



share of elderly is increasing

ΜΟΤΙVΑΤΙΟΝ


Facts and key target group


Safe mobility = quality of life



The demographic change leads to higher participation of older people on the road corresponding with accident and injury risks







SENI

INTRODUCTION

What stands SENIORS for and who are we?

Safety ENhanced Innovations For Older Road UserS

Main Goal:

To improve the safe mobility of the elderly, including obese, using an integrated approach.


Key facts:

- EC Horizon 2020 programme, contract no. 636136
- June 2015 May 2018 (36 months) Run time:
- 2.9M€ Budget:

Consortium:

8 European partners: Autoliv (SWE), BASt (DE), Fiat Chrysler Automobiles (IT), Ford (DE), Humanetics (DE), IDIADA (ES), LMU Munich (DE), Transport Research Laboratory (UK)

PROJECT IDEA / APPROACH



INTRODUCTION

SENI


Focus of the project SENIORS

- Protection of the elderly (and obese persons) as car occupants and external road users (pedestrians, cyclists / e-bike riders)
- Study and evaluation of the reduction of injury frequency and types that can be achieved through innovative and appropriate testing tools and vehicle safety systems.



PROJECT CORE SENI-Quantification of needs Literature (injury, behaviour, ...) Accident studies IDENTIFICATION OF NEEDS / Prioritise Initial benefit assessment Achievable injury prevention Analysis of risks Derivation of safety strategies PRIORITIES FOR Future project activities OLDER ROAD Biomechanical testing Dummies / impactors Numerical models Injury criteria Injury risk curves Test procedures Assessment procedures IMPROVED TOOLS PEDESTRIAN/CYCLIST CAR OCCUPANT * To be confirmed from the accident analysis ter older thorax IRC Head-neck and pedestri Head-neck thorax will be early-stage research ctive HBM Pedestrian thorax Safety of older road users • Effectiveness of new tools and advantages of new procedures • Applied to current and advanced new safety systems • Passive • Active BENEFIT AND IMPACT ASSESSMENTS Integrated benefit analysis

PROJECT STRUCTURE



EXPECTED RESULTS

SEN -

Selected sub-goals of SENIORS

- View on anthropometric particularities of the elderly and their injury mechanisms compared with younger persons
- Development and optimization of test tools, procedures and assessment methods regarding the needs of the elderly
- Use of an integrated approach (different types of road users)
- Identifying differences in the dynamics of different age groups in the pre-crash and crash phase
- Transfer of knowledge and results through cooperation with authorities and consumer protection organizations



EXPECTED RESULTS


SENI-F

SEN - F

Preliminary results

Obesity:

- Literature from US indicated higher injury severities with obese people in road traffic accidents
- . Studies on European accident data did not show these effects yet.



- There are BMI codings in a few European databases and only a few persons coded having a BMI > 30 Obese did not show clear
- trends of a higher injury severity
- It was not able to identify different injury types or for obese occupants


Proportion of adults with BIM≥30

EXPECTED RESULTS

Preliminary results

Accidentology:

- Elderly are more frequent and more severe injured in general
- Obvious differences in various body regions
- Other databases show similar results





SEN

COOPERATION

International collaboration

Vehicle safety and especially the safety of the elderly is among others also an issue in Asia and the US

Seven Letters of Support (LoS) received:

- General Directorate of Transport in Spain
- Swedish Transport Ministry
- NTSEL (Japan)
- University of Virginia (USA)
- Expert bodies EEVC, AAAM und IRCOBI
- Further external experts / advisors:
- Daimler
- University of Straßbourg
- JARI (Japan)
- NHTSA (USA)
- University of Michigan (USA)

Marcus Wisch

			₁ λ.	
10,7000.	7.1			
and the second sec				
	No.	100	(8 mm_	
-	42	1		
		5		-
nin Selliji Arrenis (st.	TILL!	**C HCOBI		
0				THIN D
				171117 (C1112* #1
•				10000 07104 PT

SENI-R

WORKSHOPS

1st SENIORS Expert Meeting, September 2015, Lyon (FR)



... to be continued... 2nd SENIORS Expert Meeting envisaged for 16 September 2016, Malaga (Spain)



SENI4 PROJECT STRUCTURE SEN WP1 - Accidentology and behaviour: Partners FCA Autoliv bast Sind Mobility data Applus 17L HUMANETICS IMU DUSTATIS wissen.nutzen. Istat Accident data For further information: GIDAS Service in Dealth Ac Marcus Wisch (wisch@bast.de) e research leading to the results of this work is received funding from the European mmunity's Eighth Framework Program orizon2020) under grant agreement n° 636136 IGLAD ad accident in-dep Idies (RAIDS) Project Coordinator Adrià Ferrer (adria.ferrer@idiada.com) Project Manager TRANSPORT STYRELSEN STRADA Hospital data TARN www.seniors-project.eu 8/12

PROJECT STRUCTURE SEN **PROJECT STRUCTURE** SEN-WP2 - Biomechanics: WP3 - Test tool development 14 Testing and simulation • Occupant dummy improvement WP2 will develop injury risk functions mirroring . Pedestrian impactors older road users . Adaptation of test procedures and assessments Ń Occupant safety Pedestrian Safety

8/12

SENI-F

PROJECT STRUCTURE

SEN()RS



WP4 - Current protection & impact of new safety systems:

- Testing procedures and assessment methods
- Evaluation of test procedures
- Benefit analysis and impact








Alba Fornells


8/12

Xcycle

Advanced measures to reduce cyclists' fatalities and increase comfort in the interaction with motorised vehicles













I















- Contra	CERCU-					the EU Framework Programme for Research
	Ana	lysis	of exi	isting	safety sy	stems
NAME	TECHNOLOGY	TRL	H		Pitos	
			Visible	х		
	Radar; Wireless Edge Pairing system;	9	Audible		***	
	Blacklight warning system	9	Haptic			
	system		нарос			
			Visible	х		
	Forward-looking		Audible		***	
INO Intelligent Bike	radar; rear looking sensor camera	7			***	 In-veichle
	sensor camera		Haptic	х	***	 On-site
			Visible	х		
	Ultrasonic rear sensor;		Audible	x		• On-bike
MoDe:FlexeBike	central unit processor; pedal assistant system	7	Autore	Ŷ		
	,		Haptic	х		
			Visible	x		
Hindsight	Rear view camera;	9	Audible		**	
Hinosight	LCD display	9	Haptic			
			Visible	х		
The Owl 360	Rear view camera;	9	Audible		**	
	LCD display		Haptic			
	Smartphone app; LED interface device; Bluetooth		Visible	х	**	
		7				

