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Executive summary

SafetyCube was one of five projects to be funded under the H2020 Topic MG-3.4-2014 Traffic Safety Analysis and Integrated Approach towards the Safety of Vulnerable Road Users. The five projects were invited by the European Commission to explore the possibilities to cooperate with regards to technical work and dissemination.

The technical work of the five projects has been diverse but collaborations, when appropriate, have taken place between SafetyCube and other projects (PROSPECT, InDev, XCYCLE, SENIORS). In particular SafetyCube and InDev worked closely together to gather information on the costs of crashes in European countries.

The collaborative activities that took place during the final two years of the SafetyCube project are summarised as follows:

- Specific Joint Work Package meetings with InDev in relation to the estimation of accident costs
- Joint session at 5th International Cycling Safety Conference, Bologna November 2016
- Joint session at the 1st European conference for Results from road transport research in H2020 projects, Brussels November 2017
- Joint Session at Transport Research Arena Conference, Vienna April 2018
- Invitations to other projects to join the midterm workshop and DSS launch
- Invitations to attend and take part in the poster exhibition of the SafetyCube Conference, Vienna March 2018
1 Introduction

1.1 SAFETYCUBE

Safety CaUsation, Benefits and Efficiency (SafetyCube) is a European Commission supported Horizon 2020 project with the objective of developing an innovative road safety Decision Support System (DSS) that will enable policy-makers and stakeholders to select and implement the most appropriate strategies, measures and cost-effective approaches to reduce casualties of all road user types and all severities.

SafetyCube aims to:
1. develop new analysis methods for (a) Priority setting, (b) Evaluating the effectiveness of measures (c) Monitoring serious injuries and assessing their socio-economic costs (d) Cost-benefit analysis taking account of human and material costs
2. apply these methods to safety data to identify the key accident causation mechanisms, risk factors and the most cost-effective measures for fatally and seriously injured casualties
3. develop an operational framework to ensure the project facilities can be accessed and updated beyond the completion of SafetyCube
4. enhance the European Road Safety Observatory and work with road safety stakeholders to ensure the results of the project can be implemented as widely as possible

The core of the project is a comprehensive analysis of accident risks and the effectiveness and cost-benefit of safety measures focusing on road users, infrastructure, vehicles and injuries framed within a systems approach with road safety stakeholders at the national level, EU and beyond having involvement at all stages.

1.1.1 Work Package 1

WP1 deals with the overall coordination of the project and the administrative work required for monitoring the progress of the project.

WP1 comprises a single task, Project Management, which runs continuously through the duration of the project. It is conducted by the Project Coordinator, Loughborough University (LOUGH), and comprises the following activities:

Provision of administrative and contractual infrastructure for project partners
• Liaison with European Commission concerning any contract amendments
• Preparation of Consortium Agreement and any amendments
• Periodic and final project reporting to European Commission
• Distribution of project partner payments
• Routine monitoring of partner time and budget expenditure

Coordination of project activities
• Chair of project Steering Committee
• Maintaining focus on project objectives
• Monitoring of project progress against time-plan, adjustments to activities as required
• Scrutiny of dependencies between Work Packages, identification of obstacles and opportunities

Communication
• Routine communication with European Commission as required
• Communication between partners – direction of project, achievements and progress
• Coordination of annual project plenary meeting
• Representing the Project to the external reviewers
• Coordination of end of project conference
• Representing project to external groups including related H2020 and national projects

Quality Assurance
The Coordinator is responsible for managing the project procedures to ensure the quality of the results and deliverables. A quality assurance procedure has been established to ensure that each deliverable conforms to the specifications laid down in the Work Package descriptions and fully addresses the project objectives to advance the state of knowledge concerning accident causation, risks and the effectiveness of measures. Every member of the partnership is invited to support this QA process, as established in the Deliverable Review Process document. External reviews will also be conducted by external expert for those deliverables considered fundamental. Members of the group will also be invited to conduct an annual review of the progress of the full project against the work plan and expected quality criteria.

Risk management
The Coordinator has the responsibility to maintain the project risk management plan. The first version of the plan is included in the Proposal. Should any unexpected high impact events occur during the course of the project the plan may need further updating. The plan is expected to represent the responses needed by the project team should adverse events occur that impact on the success of the project. The coordinator will establish a monitoring procedure to detect problems at an early stage in sufficient time to react optimally.

Legal and ethical issues
Legal questions may arise at any time during the project. Normally these may be difficulties with legal changes affecting partners, changes of legal status or financial issues. There may be some aspects of the project that initiate ethical considerations although none have been identified at the current time. Many of these issues may need to be addressed under the guidelines laid down in the Consortium Agreement and some may need amendments to the Grant Agreement. The Project Coordinator will ensure there is sufficient legal oversight of the project to enable all of these issues to be addressed properly, maintain full communication with the relevant project partners and where necessary the European Commission, and will ensure that obstacles are addressed rapidly and efficiently by the project team.

During the project contract finalisation phase the SafetyCube team were informed of four other research projects to be funded under the same topic MG-3.4-2014 Traffic Safety Analysis and Integrated Approach towards the Safety of Vulnerable Road Users and were invited to explore opportunities for synergies and collaboration. The projects and Co-ordinating organisations are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>InDeV</td>
<td>In-Depth understanding of accident causation for Vulnerable road users</td>
<td>Lund University, SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSPECT</td>
<td>PROactive Safety for PEdestrians and CyclistTs</td>
<td>IDIADA, ES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SENIORS</td>
<td>Safety-ENhancing Innovations for Older Road userS</td>
<td>BASt, DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XCYCLE</td>
<td>Advanced measures to reduce cyclists' fatalities and increase comfort in the interaction with motorised vehicles</td>
<td>University of Bologna, IT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.2 PURPOSE OF THIS DELIVERABLE

The SafetyCube project team has conducted liaison activities with the four other MG 3.4 projects during the project. Initial activities were described in Deliverable 1.1. The current brief management report describes the further engagement between the SafetyCube team and the other projects. It does not report on any further engagement between the projects that does not involve SafetyCube.
2 Summary of project liaison activities

This chapter describes the inter-project engagement activities undertaken by the SafetyCube team in years 2 and 3 of the project and the outcomes in terms of project synergies.

Liaison activities between SafetyCube and other projects have taken place using a top down approach, based on interaction between Project Coordinators, and a bottom up approach based on communications between project partners.

As a result of the activities outlined in Deliverable 1.1 a strong working relationship has been formed between SafetyCube and InDev - In-Depth understanding of accident causation for Vulnerable road users - (Aliaksei Laureshyn, Lund University). This has resulted in joint effort on technical work for both projects. The established working relationship with other projects has also been maintained through engagement in SafetyCube stakeholder interaction activities.

2.1 SYNERGIES BETWEEN SAFETYCUBE AND INDEV TECHNICAL WORK

Both SafetyCube and InDev planned to collect information on costs of crashes in different European countries. To make sure decision makers have the best available information and to prevent sending two similar questionnaires to crash costs experts in different countries, it was decided to join efforts. Researchers from SafetyCube and InDev formed a working group that was responsible for developing and distributing a questionnaire for collecting information on cost of crashes in 32 European countries. The working group (Figure 1-1) had two physical meetings and several phone meetings to discuss the development of the questionnaire and distribution of tasks.

Figure 2-1 Joint working group consisting of SafetyCube and InDev partners (Wim Wijnen and Rune Elvik are not in the picture).
Figure 1-1 shows the workflow for the joint InDeV-SafetyCube survey. On the basis of the information needs from both SafetyCube and InDeV, a questionnaire was designed. The SafetyCube literature review of international guidelines was used to determine for which cost components and cost items information should be collected and which possible methods should be distinguished. Using the SafetyCube framework, InDeV subsequently designed a first version of the Excel questionnaire that was discussed and adapted with input from SafetyCube.

SafetyCube and InDeV together identified crash cost experts in all 32 European countries (28 EU member states + Iceland, Serbia, Norway and Switzerland) for which we wanted to collect crash cost information. At the request of InDeV, a number of non-EU countries were also included, however within SafetyCube we focus only on the European countries. The countries were subsequently distributed among the SafetyCube and InDeV partners that were involved in the relevant Tasks and partners were asked to search for information on crash cost in the countries they were responsible for. On the basis of the available information, the responsible partner pre-filled the questionnaire for the relevant country and contacted the crash cost expert to check and complete the information. Finally, a first round of validation was performed with a few key indicators (e.g. percentage of GDP of total crash costs) and the respective experts were contacted again in case of suspected errors. As the InDeV project has a focus on vulnerable road users, selected experts were asked for possible additional cost information for this subgroup of road crashes.

Figure 2-2 Workflow for joint InDeV-SafetyCube Survey (© InDeV)

The joint work did not include analyses of the collected information. The analyses were conducted in the two projects separately. InDeV focused on a comparison of calculations between countries with emphasis on the definition of injury categories, components included, methods applied, databases used, the consideration of VRU and underreporting. Results are reported in InDeV Deliverable 5.1 (Kasnatscheew, A., et al., 2016). SafetyCube focused on providing various cost estimates - e.g. total costs as a percentage of GDP, costs per fatality, costs per serious injury- for different countries. Results are reported in Deliverables 3.2 (Wijnen, W. et al., 2017) and Deliverable 7.3 (Schoeters et al., 2017). In addition to cost estimates for different countries, Deliverable 3.2 also provides guidelines for the estimation of crash costs as well as comparable estimates based on value transfer.
Deliverable 7.3 focuses on costs related to serious road injuries and also covers a more detailed analysis of medical costs, costs related to production loss and human costs of serious road injuries.

2.2 OTHER PROJECTS PARTICIPATION IN SAFETYCUBE STAKEHOLDER ACTIVITIES

Representatives of the MG 3.4 projects were invited to attend and take part in the SafetyCube dissemination and stakeholder consultation exercises. Although not all were available to attend events, SENIORS was represented at the midterm workshop where the concept of the SafetyCube Decision Support System (DSS) was first presented and representatives from PROSPECT attended the DSS official launch. In addition representatives from XCYCLE, PROSPECT and SENIORS attended the final conference and took part in the poster exhibition (See Appendix 1).

![Figure 3: Poster Exhibition, SafetyCube Conference, Vienna 2018](image)

2.3 JOINT EXTERNAL ACTIVITIES

2.3.1 International Cycling Safety Conference 2016 – Joint MG 3.4 projects session

The MG 3.4 projects were invited to present in a session of the 5th International Cycling Safety Conference, Bologna, 2-4 November 2016. Presenters were asked to talk about the aspects of their work that relate to cycle safety and the session was entitled “Cycling Safety in EU-Funded Projects”. The presentations were as follows:

- **InDev Project**, Aliaksei Laureshyn – Department of Technology & Society, Faculty of Engineering, Lund University
- **SENIORS Project**, Marcus Wish - Passive Safety, Biochematics, Federal Highway Research Institute (BAST)
- **PROSPECT Project**, André Aparicio – Advance Driver Assistance Systems, IDIADA
- **XCYCLE Project**, Nicolò Decarli & Gabriele Prati – Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna
• SafetyCube Project, Pete Thomas – Safe and Smart Mobility Research Cluster, Loughborough University

2.3.2 1st European conference – Results from road transport research in H2020 projects – joint session

In November 2017, for the first time, a conference was organised by ERTAC-EGVIA with the support of the European Commission to disseminate the results from road transport research in H2020 projects. The MG3.4 projects took place in a joint session entitled ‘Safety’ and the following presentations were given:

• SafetyCube – Safety CaUsation, Benefits and Efficiency - Rachel Talbot, Loughborough University
• XCYCLE – Advanced measures to reduce cyclists’ fatalities and increase comfort in the integration with motorised vehicles - Luca Pietrantoni, University of Bologna
• PROSPECT – PROactive Safety for Pedestrians and CyclisTs - Ilona Cieslik, IDIADA
• InDeV – In-Depth understanding of accident causation for Vulnerable road users - Tom Brijs, Hasselt University
• SENIORS – Safety-Enhancing Innovations for Older Road userS, Marcus Wisch, BAST.

2.3.3 TRA 2018 SafetyCube & InDev invited session

The organisers of the TRA 2018 conference invited members of SafetyCube and InDev to participate in an invited session on “European road safety policy: Towards evidence-based decision making, especially for vulnerable road users!” The session took place on Thursday 19th April 08:30 – 10:00. Presentations were as follows

• Evidence-based decision making in road safety & the role of the European Commission - William Bird, EC
• The potential of safety performance indicators in Road Safety Management - Rune Elvik, TOI, SafetyCube
• New ways in evidence-based decision-making: The SafetyCube project - Pete Thomas, Loughborough University, SafetyCube
• Economic evaluation of road safety measures - Wouter Van den Berghe, VIAS, SafetyCube
• The SafetyCube European Road Safety Decision Support System - George Yannis, NTUA, SafetyCube
• Measuring the Road User. The challenges of quantifying human related risk factors and measures - Susanne Kaiser, KFV, SafetyCube
• Surrogate safety measures, theory, application, examples – Aliaksei Laureshyn, LU, InDev
• Technical tools for safety data collection – Mette Kathrine Larsen, AAU, InDev
• VRU accident costs – Anatolij Kasnatscheew, BASt, InDev
• Handbook of VRU study methods – Kris Brijs, Hasselt University, InDev
3 Conclusion

The technical work of the five ‘safety’ projects has been diverse but collaborations, when appropriate, have taken place between SafetyCube and other projects supported under the H2020 Topic MG-3.4-2014 Traffic Safety Analysis and Integrated Approach towards the Safety of Vulnerable Road Users. The work of SafetyCube was most closely aligned to that of InDev and both projects worked together to gather data on the cost of crashes in the EU. This deliverable has described the collaborative activities that took place during the final two years of the SafetyCube project and these are summarised below:

A series of joint activities have been conducted including

- Specific Joint Work Package meetings with InDeV in relation to the estimation of accident costs
- Joint session at 5th International Cycling Safety Conference, Bologna November 2016
- Joint session at the 1st European conference for Results from road transport research in H2020 projects, Brussels November 2017
- Joint Session at Transport Research Arena Conference, Vienna April 2018
- Invitations to other projects to join the midterm workshop and DSS launch
- Invitations to attend and take part in the poster exhibition of the SafetyCube Conference, Vienna March 2018
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Appendix 1: Posters from MG3.4 projects at SafetyCube conference

List of posters:

**XCYCLE**  project overview

**PROSPECT**  project overview
  AUDI mobil driving demonstrator
  Automotive radar

**SENIORS**  project overview
**Objective 1: Traffic safety analysis**

We found the main factors contributing to bicycle-related vehicle (BRV) collisions. This analysis was based on 8,694 collisions from 10 European countries. We identified key features of cyclist crashes using latest class analysis and association techniques, identifying 29 types of BRV crashes, analyzing 50,000 accidents.

**Objective 2: Development of an in-vehicle and on-bike system**

We identified key determinants of acceptance of pedestrian and cyclist detection systems and emergency braking (EBS) on trucks. According to VRUs, the estimated benefits showed the maximum reduction of 9.6% in all road fatalities and 8.8% in all road injuries, which cumulatively reduce an estimate of over 1,810 fatalities and over 93,000 injuries saved per year in the EU-28.

A driving simulator in Leeds has been programmed with a common scenario for truck-road conflict scenarios. A set of VRUs has been determined covering both visual and auditory aspects. Female drivers from this study have been encouraged to change their behavior when using a reflector mirror when a cyclist was alongside the truck.

We developed sensor requirements on a truck-based on right-turn vehicles simulation.

We developed a VANH localization system consisting of active tags mounted on bikes and radar nodes mounted on a vehicle. An on-bike device with audio warnings was tested in a semi-controlled field study in Bologna.

**Objective 3: Development of an infrastructure-based system**

Viedo recordings and trajectory data have been analyzed in an infrastructure-based system. Goals: predicting critical situations between right-turning vehicles and crossing cyclists before they occur. Results are based on detection of critical behavior patterns in data material for development of predictive algorithms.

Green wave reduces risk of red light violation for cyclists. The adaptive traffic control can also guide drivers for "green wave for cyclists." Increase comfort and therefore stimulates modal shift. Bicycles will form platoon, which increases visibility.

**Horizontal impact of this project**

- Relating Bicycle-Motored Vehicle collision critical scenarios in the urban environment (blind spots in right and left turn, waiting time at intersections and red right-turning behaviors by cyclists)
- Enabling the users to undertake the right pattern of actions in critical situations: multi-modality warning systems (add in HMI designs) to p. e. blind-cylinders.
- Effective error-tolerance estimation and monitoring aimed at changing behaviors and re-thinking the acceptable risk level for different types of road users (VRUs) defined by a changing context (e-bikes, CASL).
- Innovative analytical methods to investigate contributing factors of collisions involving VRUs in different European countries > new challenges (interactions between cyclists and electric and autonomous vehicles).
- Environmental, health and economic impact - cycling as an active and sustainable mode of transport, of cycling by increasing perception of safety.)
Advancing active safety towards the protection of Vulnerable Road Users by evolution of ADAS solutions that meet real-world deployment challenges: The project PROSPECT

Objectives and Motivations

- Accidents involving pedestrians and cyclists still remain as a pending issue for road safety.
- VRU fatalities account for 30% of road fatalities in the EU (Source: WHO, 2015).
- Most of accidents are caused by the driver being in-alert or misinterpreting the situation.
- Active safety systems have potential to reduce these numbers.
- First generation of AEB systems that avoid and mitigate VRU accidents are in the market: RADAR, mono/stereo cameras.
- PROSPECT aims to significantly improve the effectiveness of active VRU safety systems by (i) expanding scope of scenarios addressed (ii) improving AEB system performance (iii) proposing new validation and testing methodologies.

Methods and Steps

1. Traffic accidents study
2. Use cases & demonstrators specification
3. Advanced VRU sensing & modelling
4. Actuation & control strategies
5. Sensor fusion & integration
6. Test protocol & validation

Results and Examples

(a) Bosch Demonstrator car
(b) Continental Demonstrator car
(c) Daimler Demonstrator car
(d) Audi Mobile driving simulator
(e) Advanced 4a testing tools and testing protocols
AUDI mobil driving demonstrator

Objectives
Audi will conduct experiments to investigate the interaction of the driver, system, and VRU in critical situations in a real car environment using its mobile driving demonstrator.

With the new Audi mobile driving demonstrator we can achieve:
• Demonstrate novel and innovative vehicle functions to media and customers
• Testing of driver’s behavior and acceptance without endangering passengers or vehicle
• Engineering of new driving assistance systems (virtual development)
• Market research and customer training

Content
The Audi mobile driving simulator is based on:
• Motion platform to reduce simulator sickness
• Impression of the feeling of steering and braking
• Seatbelt pre-tensioning

Engineering within PROSPECT
• Two additional monitors for a better side view to improve demonstration of crossing cyclists
• Hardware modification, additional computers
• Software cyclist simulation model
• Adaptation of the trajectory simulation to the Audi simulator
• Implementation of free driving with cyclists in the city

Theoretical Background and focus of the study

The German in-depth data base GIDAS shows a predominant type of car-cyclist accidents:
A driver approaching a non-signaled intersection or T-junction with a cyclist crossing from a bicycle lane (see Fig. 1).

Fig. 1 Absolute Frequency of collisions depending on driver’s task and orientation of the cyclist direction (from driver’s point of view)

Inappropriate top-down selection may explain both:
1) Drivers turning right fail to manage situations with a cyclist from the right more often than with a cyclist from the left.

Drivers turning right fail to look into the direction of the cyclist crossing from the right, thus the cyclist appears entirely outside the driver’s field of view. If the cyclist appears within the field of view (e.g. cyclist crossing from the left) reflexive bottom-up selection may prevent drivers from colliding with that cyclist and thus reduce the overall frequency of collisions.

2) Drivers turning right fail to manage situations with a cyclist from the right more often than with a cyclist from the left.

Drivers turning right may fail to look into the direction of the cyclist crossing from the right, thus the cyclist appears entirely outside the driver’s field of view. If the cyclist appears within the field of view (e.g. cyclist crossing from the right) reflexive bottom-up selection may prevent drivers from colliding with that cyclist and thus reduce the overall frequency of collisions.

Fig. 2 Independent variables varied within the study. On top “salience of the cyclist (low, high)” at the bottom “competing stimulus (yes, no)”

However, drivers turning right still collide quite frequently with cyclists from the left, though the chance of the cyclist being able to capture the driver’s attention is increased due to the bottom-up selection. The ability of cyclists within the field of view to capture attention might be reduced by either:

a) low salience of the cyclist (physical stimulus properties such as intensity, size and background contrast) (see Fig. 2) or
b) driver focuses heavily on approaching cars from the left (inattentional blindness paradigm) (see Fig. 2)

Aim of this study is to examine the role of sensory conspicuity of cyclists within the drivers’ detection of cyclists in specific scenarios.
Abstract
A reduction of almost 48% of total fatalities has been achieved in Europe over recent years due to efforts put into road safety. This includes also a reduced number of elderly fatalities due to road accidents. However, among all the road fatalities, the proportion of elderly is steadily increasing.

Because society is aging demographically and being overweight is becoming more prevalent, the SENIORS Safety Enhanced Innovations for Older Road users project aims to improve the safety mobility of the elderly and overweight persons, using an integrated approach that covers the main modes of transport.

This project primarily investigates and assesses the injury reduction in road traffic accidents that can be achieved through suitable tools, test and assessment procedures, as well as safety systems in the area of passive vehicle safety. The goal is to reduce the numbers of fatality and seriously injured older road users as well as occupants and pedestrians as well as cyclists in collisions with passenger cars.

3D printing to improve the safety of the elderly
In order to represent a population with increased vulnerability to injury a new Elderly Anthropomorphic Test Device (EATD) has been developed. The prototype EATD was developed with advanced 3D modelling and cutting-edge 3D printing techniques and materials. The 3D printing process has proven to be an invaluable tool in designing and developing crash dummies, but some areas need to be improved in terms of durability. The EATD anthropometric specifications developed were determined as 70-year old, female, and weighing approximately 73 kg with a stature of 1.61 metres. The organs chosen to be represented in the Elderly AD, are the liver and spleen. Research has shown that in the AIS 2-3 category, the liver was the most frequently injured organ in frontal, right side and far side crashes; this was followed by spleen trauma. In contrast, the spleen sustained the maximum number of injuries in left and near side impacts. More biofidelity in this region provides greater insight into potential injury criteria. Additionally, the new EATD allows for a more realistic assessment of one of the main risks of injury for elderly car occupants, thoracic injuries.

Improving correlation between component testing and HBM simulation
SENIORS also aims at enhancing the safety of elderly pedestrians and cyclists. Taking into account the latest accident data analyses, the existing pedestrian test tool for the assessment of lower extremity injuries has been improved, a new tool representing thoracic injuries has been prototyped and the headform test procedures have been revised towards the inclusion of cyclists.

FlexPLI is the current regulatory and NCAP tool but doesn't allow appropriate assessment in all cases. By means of comparative simulations with THUMS and different derivations of the FlexPLI, the current tool was updated with a torso mass surrogate (UBM — upper body mass). A final validation by means of physical component testing was performed.

The FlexPLI-UBM shows an improved qualitative and quantitative correlation with HBM simulations. It can be used for the assessment of femur injuries addressing high frontal geometries and for an improved assessment of angled impact areas.

Generic test rig
SENIORS aims to provide improved methods to assess thoracic injury risk to elderly occupants. Paired simulations with a THOR dummy model and human body models (HBM) were used to develop improved thoracic injury risk functions. The simulation results provide data for injury criteria development in chest loading conditions that are underrepresented in Post-Mortem Human Surrogates (PMHS) test data sets that the currently proposed risk functions are based on. To support this approach a new simplified generic but representative sled test fixture and CAE model for testing and simulation were developed. This test set-up and model will serve as a new standard test environment for PMHS and volunteer tests as well as HBM simulations.

seniors-project.eu